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Abstract: In this paper we intoduce a new integral operator as the convolution of the Noor and Sălăgean integral operators. With this integral operator we define the class $C_{N S}(\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in[0,1)$ and we study some properties of this class.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $U=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ be the unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and $H(U)$ denote the set of holomorphic (analytic) functions in $U$. We denote by

$$
\mathcal{A}=\left\{f \in H(U): f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0\right\}
$$

and

$$
S=\{f \in \mathcal{A}: f \text { is univalent in } U\}
$$

We say that $f$ is starlike in $U$ if $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is univalent and $f(U)$ is a starlike domain in $\mathbb{C}$ with respect to origin. It is well-known that $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is starlike in $U$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0, \text { for all } z \in U
$$

The class of starlike functions with respect to origin is denoted by $S^{*}$.
Let $T$ denote a subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of functions $f$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $a_{j} \geq 0, j=2,3, \ldots$ and $z \in U$. A function $f \in T$ is called a function with negative coefficients. For the class $T$, the followings are equivalent [6]:
(i) $\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j a_{j} \leq 1$,
(ii) $f \in T \cap S$,
(iii) $f \in T^{*}$, where $T^{*}=T \cap S^{*}$.

Let

$$
f(z)=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j}, a_{j} \geq 0, j=2,3, \ldots
$$

and

$$
g(z)=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} b_{j} z^{j}, b_{j} \geq 0, j=2,3, \ldots
$$

then the convolution or the Hadamard product is defined by

$$
(f * g)(z)=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} b_{j} z^{j}=(g * f)(z), z \in U
$$

The study of operators plays an important role in geometric function theory. For $f \in H(U), f(0)=0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, the $I_{S}^{n}$ Sălăgean integral operator is defined as follows [7]:
(i) $I_{S}^{0} f(z)=f(z)$,
(ii) $I_{S}^{1} f(z)=I f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} f(t) t^{-1} d t$,
(iii) $I_{S}^{n} f(z)=I_{S}\left(I_{S}^{n-1} f(z)\right)$.

We remark that if $f$ has the form (1.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{S}^{n} f(z)=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}}{j^{n}} z^{j}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
In [5] Noor defined an integral operator $I_{N}^{n}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N}^{n} f(z)=\frac{n+1}{z^{n}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{n-1} I_{N}^{n}(f(t)) d t \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
Let $f_{n}(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}}$ and let $f_{n}^{(-1)}(z)$ be defined such that

$$
f_{n}^{(-1)}(z) * f_{n}(z)=\frac{z}{1-z} .
$$

We note that

$$
I_{N}^{n} f(z)=f_{n}^{(-1)}(z) * f(z)=\left[\frac{z}{(1-z)^{n+1}}\right]^{(-1)} * f(z)
$$

We remark that if $f$ has the form (1.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N}^{n} f(z)=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}}{C(n, j)} z^{j} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(n, j)=\frac{(n+j-1)!}{n!(j-1)!}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

The following definitions and lemmas will be required in the sequel.
Definition 2.1. $[2,3]$ Let $f$ and $g$ be analytic functions in $U$. We say that the function $f$ is subordinate to the function $g$, if there exist a function $w$, which is analytic in $U$ and for which $w(0)=0,|w(z)|<1$ for $z \in U$, such that $f(z)=g(w(z))$, for all $z \in U$. We denote by $\prec$ the subordination relation.

Definition 2.2. [3] Let $Q$ be the class of analytic functions $q$ in $U$ which has the property that are analytic and injective on $\bar{U} \backslash E(q)$, where

$$
E(q)=\left\{\zeta \in \partial U: \lim _{z \longrightarrow \zeta} q(z)=\infty\right\}
$$

and are such that $q^{\prime}(\zeta) \neq 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial U \backslash E(q)$.
Lemma 2.1. [2, 3] Let $q \in Q$, with $q(0)=a$, and let $p(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+\ldots$ be analytic in $U$ with $p(z) \not \equiv a$ and $n \geq 1$. If $p \nprec q$, then there are two points $z_{0}=r_{0} e^{i \theta_{0}} \in U$, and $\zeta_{0} \in \partial U \backslash E(q)$ and a number $m \geq n \geq 1$ for which $p\left(U_{r_{0}}\right) \subset q(U)$,
(i) $p\left(z_{0}\right)=q\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$
(ii) $\quad z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=m \zeta_{0} q^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$
(iii) $\operatorname{Re} \frac{z_{0} p^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}+1 \geq m \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\zeta_{0} q^{\prime \prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)}{q^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)}+1\right)$.

The following result is a particular case of Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 2.2. [2, 3] Let $p(z)=1+a_{n} z^{n}+\ldots$ be analytic in $U$ with $p(z) \not \equiv 1$ and $n \geq 1$. If $\operatorname{Re} p(z) \ngtr 0, z \in U$, then there is a point $z_{0} \in U$, and there are two real numbers $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that
(i) $p\left(z_{0}\right)=i x$
(ii) $z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=y \leq-\frac{n\left(x^{2}+1\right)}{2}$,
(iii) $\operatorname{Re} z_{0}^{2} p^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right) \leq 0$.

If $f(z)=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j}$, using the Noor and Sălăgean integral operators we define a new operator as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N S}^{n} f(z)=I_{N}^{n} f(z) * I_{S}^{n} f(z)=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)} z^{j}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(n, j)=\frac{(n+j-1)!}{n!(j-1)!}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
Remark 2.1. Differentiate the relation (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}=1-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)} z^{j-1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplicating the equality (2.2) with $\frac{z}{n}$ we obtain

$$
\frac{z}{n}\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}=\frac{z}{n}-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{n j^{n-1} C(n, j)} z^{j},
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{n}\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}+\frac{z}{n}(n-1)=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{n j^{n-1} C(n, j)} z^{j} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $g \in T$ and $g(z)=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}(n+j-1) z^{j}$. Then from (2.3), we obtain the following relation between $I_{N S}^{n-1} f(z)$ and $I_{N S}^{n} f(z)$ operators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N S}^{n-1} f(z)=\frac{z}{n}\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime} * g(z)+\frac{n-1}{n} z * g(z) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Noor-Sălăgean integral operator, we define the following class of analytic functions:

Definition 2.3. A function $f \in T$ belongs to the class $C_{N S}(\alpha)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{I_{N S}^{n} f(z)}>\alpha \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in[0,1)$ and $z \in U$.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $f(z)=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j}$. Then $f \in C_{N S}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)}\left[1-\frac{\alpha}{j}\right]<1-\alpha . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in C_{N S}(\alpha)$, then we have

$$
\operatorname{Re} \frac{z\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{I_{N S}^{n} f(z)}>\alpha, z \in U .
$$

If $z \in[0,1)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)} z^{j}}{z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)} z^{j}}>\alpha \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the denominator of (3.2) is positive, the relation (3.2) is equivalent with

$$
\alpha-1<\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\alpha a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)} z^{j-1}-\frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)} z^{j-1}\right],
$$

and finally we get

$$
\alpha-1<\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)} z^{j-1}\left[\frac{\alpha}{j}-1\right] .
$$

Considering $z \rightarrow 1^{-}$along to the real axis, we get:

$$
\alpha-1<\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)}\left[\frac{\alpha}{j}-1\right] .
$$

To prove the reciproc implication we consider $f$ with the form (1.1) and for which the (3.1) inequality holds.

The condition $\operatorname{Re} \frac{z\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{I_{N S}^{n} f(z)}>\alpha$ is equivalent to

$$
\alpha-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{I_{N S}^{n} f(z)}-1\right)<1 .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha-\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{I_{N S}^{n} f(z)}-1\right) \leq \alpha+\left|\frac{z\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{I_{N S}^{n} f(z)}-1\right| \\
=\alpha+\left|\frac{\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)} z^{j}-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)} z^{j}}{z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)} z^{j}}\right|=\alpha+\left|\frac{\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)} z^{j-1}\left[\frac{1}{j}-1\right]}{1-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)} z^{j-1}}\right| \\
\leq \alpha+\frac{\left.\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)}|z|^{j-1} \right\rvert\, \frac{1}{j}-1}{1-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)}|z|^{j-1}}<\alpha+\frac{\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)}\left[1-\frac{1}{j}\right]}{1-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
=\frac{\alpha+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)}\left[1-\frac{1}{j}-\frac{\alpha}{j}\right]}{1-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)}} .
$$

To finish our proof, we need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)}\left[1-\frac{1}{j}-\frac{\alpha}{j}\right]}{1-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)}}<1 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (3.3) inequality is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n-1} C(n, j)}\left[1-\frac{\alpha}{j}\right]<1-\alpha \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the (3.1) condition.
Let $E_{N S}(\alpha)$ be a subclass of $C_{N S}(\alpha)$. The class is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{N S}(\alpha)=\left\{f \in T:\left|\frac{z\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{I_{N S}^{n} f(z)}-1\right|<1-2 \alpha \text { and } \alpha \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in T$ of the form (1.1). If $f \in E_{N S}(\alpha)$, then $\operatorname{Re} \frac{I_{N S}^{n} f(z)}{z}>0$.
Proof. Suppose $f \in E_{N S}(\alpha)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{z\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}}{I_{N S}^{n} f(z)}-1\right|<1-2 \alpha \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N S}^{n} f(z)=z p(z) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiate (3.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[I_{N S}^{n} f(z)\right]^{\prime}=z p^{\prime}(z)+p(z) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (3.6) is equivalent to

$$
\left|\frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\right|<1-2 \alpha
$$

If the condition $\operatorname{Re} p(z)=\operatorname{Re} \frac{I_{N S}^{n} f(z)}{z}>0$ does not hold, then according to Lemma 2.2, there is a point $z_{0} \in U$, and there are two real numbers $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
p\left(z_{0}\right)=i x
$$ and

$$
z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=y \leq-\frac{1+x^{2}}{2}
$$

These inequalities imply

$$
\left|\frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right|=\left|\frac{y}{i x}\right| \geq\left|\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(1+x^{2}\right)}{x}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{2}\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right)\right| \geq 1-2 \alpha .
$$

The above inequality contradicts (3.6) and consequently

$$
\operatorname{Re} p(z)=\operatorname{Re} \frac{I_{N S}^{n} f(z)}{z}>0
$$

where $z \in U$.
Theorem 3.3. Let

$$
F(z)=I_{c} f(z)=\frac{c+1}{z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} f(t) t^{c-1} d t, c \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

If $f \in C_{N S}(\alpha)$, then $F=I_{c}(f) \in C_{N S}(\beta)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\beta(\alpha, 2)=1-\frac{(1-\alpha)(c+1)^{2}}{(c+2)^{2}(2-\alpha)-(c+1)^{2}(1-\alpha)} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\beta>\alpha, \alpha \in[0,1)$.
Proof. Suppose $f \in C_{N S}(\alpha)$. Then by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{j}^{2}(j-\alpha)}{j^{n} C(n, j)(1-\alpha)}<1
$$

We know that if $f$ has the form (1.1), then

$$
F(z)=\frac{c+1}{z^{c}} \int_{0}^{z} f(t) t^{c-1} d t=z-\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{c+1}{c+j} a_{j} z^{j}
$$

and to prove that $F \in C_{N S}(\beta)$ is sufficient to have

$$
\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{j-\beta}{j^{n} C(n, j)(1-\beta)}\left(\frac{c+1}{c+j}\right)^{2} a_{j}^{2}<1
$$

This last inequality is implied by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{j-\beta}{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{(c+1)^{2} a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)(c+j)^{2}} \leq \frac{j-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \cdot \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{j^{n} C(n, j)}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \geq 2$.
From (3.10) we deduce that

$$
\beta \leq 1-\frac{(1-\alpha)(c+1)^{2}(j-1)}{(c+j)^{2}(j-\alpha)-(c+1)^{2}(1-\alpha)}=\beta(\alpha, j),
$$

$j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq 2$. We will prove that

$$
\beta(\alpha, j) \geq \beta(\alpha, 2), j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq 2
$$

Let consider the function $\varphi:[2, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\varphi(x)=\frac{x-1}{(x+c)^{2}(x-\alpha)-(c+1)^{2}(1-\alpha)}, x \in[2, \infty) .
$$

Then

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(x)=\frac{g(x)}{\left[(x+c)^{2}(x-\alpha)-(c+1)^{2}(1-\alpha)\right]^{2}},
$$

where $g(x)=-2 x^{3}+(3-2 c-\alpha) x^{2}+(4 c-2 \alpha) x-2 c-(1-\alpha)$.
We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
g^{\prime}(x)=-6 x^{2}+2(3-2 c-\alpha) x+4 c-2 \alpha \\
g^{\prime \prime}(x)=-12 x+6-4 c-2 \alpha<0
\end{gathered}
$$

$x \in[2, \infty)$. Then

$$
g^{\prime}(x) \leq g^{\prime}(2)=-12-4 c-6 \alpha<0, x \in[2, \infty)
$$

and

$$
g(x) \leq g(2)=-4-8 \alpha-2 c-(1-\alpha)<0, x \in[2, \infty)
$$

We obtain $\varphi^{\prime}(x)<0, x \in[2, \infty)$ and from this

$$
\beta(\alpha, j)=1-\varphi(j)(1-\alpha)(c+1)^{2} \geq 1-\varphi(2)(1-\alpha)(c+1)^{2}=\beta(\alpha, 2,)
$$

where $\beta(\alpha, 2)$ is given by (3.9). Finally $\beta>\alpha$ is equivalent to

$$
1-\alpha>\frac{(1-\alpha)(c+1)^{2}}{(c+2)^{2}(2-\alpha)-(c+1)^{2}(1-\alpha)}
$$
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