On the zeros of an analytic function

V.K. Jain

ABSTRACT: Kuniyeda, Montel and Toya had shown that the polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k$; $a_0 \neq 0$, of degree n, does not vanish in

$$|z| \le \{1 + (\sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j/a_0|^p)^{q/p}\}^{-1/q},$$

where $p>1,\ q>1,\ (1/p)+(1/q)=1$ and we had proved that p(z) does not vanish in $|z|\leq \alpha^{1/q},$ where

 $\alpha = \text{unique root in } (0,1) \text{ of } D_n x^3 - D_n S x^2 + (1 + D_n S) x - 1 = 0,$

$$D_n = (\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j/a_0|^p)^{q/p},$$

$$S = (|a_1| + |a_2|)^q (|a_1|^p + |a_2|^p)^{-(q-1)}$$

a refinement of Kuniyeda et al.'s result under the assumption

$$D_n < (2-S)/(S-1).$$

Now we have obtained a generalization of our old result and proved that the function

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, (\not\equiv \text{aconstant}); a_0 \neq 0,$$

analytic in $|z| \leq 1$, does not vanish in $|z| < \alpha_m^{1/q}$, where

 $\alpha_m = \text{unique root in } (0,1) \text{ of } Dx^{m+1} - DM_mx^2 + (1+DM_m)x - 1 = 0,$

$$D = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k/a_0|^p)^{q/p},$$

$$M_m = (\sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|)^q (\sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|^p)^{-q/p},$$

m= any positive integer with the characteristic that there exists a positive integer $k(\leq m)$ with $a_k \neq 0$.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 30C15, Secondary 30C10 Keywords and Phrases: zeros, polynomial, function analytic in $|z| \leq 1$, generalization, Hölder's inequality.

1 Introduction and statement of results

Let

$$P(z) = b_0 + b_1 z + \ldots + b_n z^n$$

be a polynomial of degree n. Then according to a classical result of Kuniyeda, Montel and Toya [3, p. 124] on the location of zeros of a polynomial we have

Theorem A. All the zeros of the polynomial P(z) lie in

$$|z| < \{1 + (\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |b_j/b_n|^p)^{q/p}\}^{1/q},$$

where

$$p > 1, \quad q > 1, \quad (1/p) + (1/q) = 1.$$
 (1.1)

On applying Theorem A to the polynomial $z^n p(1/z)$, we have the following equivalent formulation of Theorem A.

Theorem B. The polynomial

$$p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots + a_n z^n; a_0 \neq 0,$$
(1.2)

of degree n does not vanish in

$$|z| \le (1 + D_n)^{-1/q},\tag{1.3}$$

where p, q are given in (1.1) and

$$D_n = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j/a_0|^p\right)^{q/p}.$$
 (1.4)

We [2] had obtained

Theorem C. All the zeros of P(z) lie in

$$|z| < \chi^{1/q},$$

where χ is the unique root of the equation

$$x^3 - (1 + LM)x^2 + LMx - L = 0,$$

in $(1, \infty)$,

$$\begin{split} L &= (\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |b_j/b_n|^p)^{q/p}, \\ M &= (|b_{n-1}| + |b_{n-2}|)^q (|b_{n-1}|^p + |b_{n-2}|^p)^{-(q-1)}. \end{split}$$

Theorem C is a refinement of Theorem A, under the assumption

$$L < (2 - M)/(M - 1).$$

The equivalent formulation of Theorem C, (similar to the formulation of Theorem B from Theorem A) is

Theorem D. The polynomial

$$p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \ldots + a_n z^n; a_0 \neq 0,$$

of degree n does not vanish in

$$|z| \le \alpha^{1/q}$$
,

where α is the unique root of the equation

$$D_n x^3 - D_n S x^2 + (1 + D_n S) x - 1 = 0,$$

in (0,1),

$$S = (|a_1| + |a_2|)^q (|a_1|^p + |a_2|^p)^{-(q-1)},$$

and D_n is as in Theorem B.

Theorem D is a refinement of Theorem B, under the assumption

$$D_n < (2-S)/(S-1).$$

In this paper we have obtained a generalization of Theorem D for the functions, analytic in $|z| \le 1$. More precisely we have proved

Theorem 1. Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, (\not\equiv \text{aconstant}); a_0 \neq 0,$$
(1.5)

be analytic in $|z| \leq 1$. Then f(z) does not vanish in

$$|z| < \alpha_m^{1/q},\tag{1.6}$$

where

$$q>1, \ p>1, \ (1/p)+(1/q)=1,$$
 $m=$ any positive integer with the characteristic that
$$(1.7)$$

there exists a positive integer $k (\leq m)$ with $a_k \neq 0$,

 α_m = unique root in (0,1), of

$$\{g(x) \equiv \}, \ Dx^{m+1} - DM_m x^2 + (1 + DM_m)x - 1 = 0,$$
 (1.8)

$$D = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k/a_0|^p\right)^{q/p}, (>0, \text{by}(1.5)), \tag{1.9}$$

$$M_m = \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|\right)^q \left(\sum_{k=1}^m |a_k|^p\right)^{-q/p}, (>0, \text{by}(1.7)).$$
(1.10)

54 V.K. Jain

From Theorem 1 we easily get

Corollary 1. Under the same hypothesis as in Theorem 1, f(z) does not vanish in

$$|z| < \sup_{m \ge M, q > 1} \alpha_m^{1/q},$$

where

 $M = least positive integer k such that <math>a_k \neq 0$.

2 Lemmas

For the proof of the theorem, we require the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let

$$\alpha_j > 0, \quad \beta_j > 0, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

 $q > 1, \quad p > 1, \quad (1/p) + (1/q) = 1,$
 $1 \le m < n.$

Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} \beta_{j} \leq \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j}^{p} \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j}^{p} \right)^{-1/p} \right) \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{j} \beta_{j} \right)^{q} + \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j}^{p} \right)^{q-1} \right) \left(\sum_{j=m+1}^{n} \alpha_{j}^{q} \right) \right\}^{1/q}.$$
(2.1)

This lemma is due to Beckenbach [1]. From Lemma 1 we easily obtain

Lemma 2. Inequality (2.1) is true even if

$$\alpha_j \ge 0,$$
 $j = 1, 2, ..., n,$
 $\beta_j \ge 0,$ $j = 1, 2, ..., n,$

with

$$\beta_j \neq 0$$
, foratleastone $j, 1 \leq j \leq m$.

Lemma 3. The equation

$$Dx^{m+1} - DM_m x^2 + (1 + DM_m)x - 1 = 0 (2.2)$$

has a unique root α_m in (0,1) where m, D and M_m are as in Theorem 1.

Proof of Lemma 3. We firstly assume that

Now we consider the transformation

$$x = 1/t$$

in equation (2.2), thereby giving the transformed equation

$$t^{m+1} - (1 + DM_m)t^m + DM_mt^{m-1} - D = 0, (2.3)$$

and then the transformation

$$t = 1 + y$$

in (2.3), thereby giving the transformed equation

$$(1+y)^{m+1} - (1+DM_m)(1+y)^m + DM_m(1+y)^{m-1} - D = 0, (2.4)$$

i.e.

$$y^{m+1} + y^{m}((m/1) - DM_{m}) + ((m-1)/1!)((m/2) - DM_{m})y^{m-1}$$

$$+ ((m-1)(m-2)/2!)((m/3) - DM_{m})y^{m-2} + \dots$$

$$+ ((m-1)(m-2)\dots(m-j+1)/(j-1)!)((m/j) - DM_{m})y^{m+1-j} + \dots$$

$$+ ((m-1)(m-2)\dots(m-m+1)/(m-1)!)((m/m) - DM_{m})y - D$$

$$= 0.$$

$$(2.5)$$

By using Déscarte's rule of signs we can say that equation (2.5) (i.e. equation (2.4)) will have a unique positive root and accordingly the equation (2.3) will have a unique root in $(1,\infty)$. Hence the equation (2.2) will have a unique root α_m , (say), in (0,1), thereby proving Lemma 3 for the possibility under consideration.

For the possibility

$$m=1$$
,

the transformed equation, similar to equation (2.5), (i.e. equation (2.4)), is

$$y^2 + y(1 - DM_m) - D = 0.$$

Now Lemma 3 follows for this possibility, by using arguments similar to those used for proving Lemma 3 for the possibility

$$m > 1$$
.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Let

$$f_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k z^k, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

56 V.K. Jain

Then for |z| < 1 and n > m

$$|f_{n}(z)| \geq |a_{0}| - \sum_{k=1}^{n} |z|^{k} |a_{k}|,$$

$$\geq |a_{0}| - \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_{k}|^{p} \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_{k}|^{p} \right)^{-1/p} \right\} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} |z|^{k} |a_{k}| \right)^{q} + \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_{k}|^{p} \right)^{q-1} \right\} \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{n} |z|^{kq} \right) \right]^{1/q}, \text{ (by Lemma 2)},$$

$$\geq |a_{0}| - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_{k}|^{p} \right)^{1/p} \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_{k}| |z|^{k} \right)^{q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} |a_{k}|^{p} \right)^{-q/p} + \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{n} |z|^{kq} \right) \right]^{1/q}, \text{ (by 1.1)},$$

$$\geq |a_{0}| - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_{k}|^{p} \right)^{1/p} \left[M_{m} |z|^{q} + \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{n} |z|^{kq} \right) \right]^{1/q}, \text{ (by 1.10)},$$

which, by making

$$n \to \infty$$
.

implies that

$$|f(z)| \geq |a_0| - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p\right)^{1/p} \left[M_m |z|^q + \left(\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |z|^{kq}\right) \right]^{1/q}, \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p \text{ will converge} \right)$$

$$\text{as } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \text{ converges and } \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k|, n = 1, 2, \ldots\right),$$

$$= |a_0| \left[1 - \left\{ D(M_m |z|^q + (|z|^{(m+1)q}/(1 - |z|^q))) \right\}^{1/q} \right], \text{ (by 1.9)},$$

$$> 0, \tag{3.1}$$

if

$$D|z|^{(m+1)q} - DM_m|z|^{2q} + (1 + DM_m)|z|^q - 1 < 0.$$
(3.2)

Now as

$$g(0) = -1, (by(1.8)),$$

we can say by Lemma 3, (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$|f(z)| > 0,$$

if

$$|z|^q < \alpha_m,$$

thereby proving Theorem 1.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 gives better bound than that given by the result, that f(z) does not vanish in

$$|z| < \{1/(1+D)\}^{1/q},$$

obtained by using Hölder's inequality instead of Lemma 2 and following the method of proof of Theorem 1, provided

$$m = 1 \quad \& \quad M_m < m,$$

$$m \ge 2 \quad \& \quad M_m \le 1,$$

$$m \ge 2, 1 < M_m < m \quad \text{and} \quad D < D_0,$$
 (3.3)

where D_0 is the unique positive root of the equation

$$(M_m - 1)D^{m-1} + (m-1)(M_m - (m/(m-1)))D^{m-2} + ((m-1)(m-2)/2)(M_m - (m/(m-2)))D^{m-3} + ... + (m-1)(M_m - (m/2))D + (M_m - m) = 0, (m \ge 2\&1 < M_m < m),$$

as for $m = 1 \& M_m < m$

$$g(1/(1+D)) < 0,$$

and for m > 2

$$g(1/(1+D)) < 0,$$

is equivalent to

$$(M_m - 1)D^{m-1} + (m-1)(M_m - (m/(m-1)))D^{m-2} + ((m-1)(m-2)/2)(M_m - (m/(m-2)))D^{m-3} + \dots + (m-1)(M_m - (m/2))D + (M_m - m)$$

$$< 0.$$

The function

$$f(z) = 1 + z + (z/(2i))^3 + (z/(2i))^4 + (z/(2i))^5 + \dots$$

satisfies (3.3) with

$$p = q = m = 2$$

and the corresponding $\alpha_m^{1/q}$ is .752.

References

- [1] Beckenbach, E. F., On Hölder's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 15 (1966), 21–29.
- [2] Jain, V. K., On the zeros of a polynomial, Publ. L'inst. Math. (Nouvelle série) 41 (1987), 75–77.

58 V.K. Jain

[3] Marden, M., The geometry of polynomials, A. M. S. Surveys 3 (1966), New York.

DOI: 10.7862/rf.2014.5

V.K. Jain

email: vkj@maths.iitkgp.ernet.in

Mathematics Department,

I.I.T. Kharagpur - 721302, INDIA

Received 31.12.2013, Accepted 10.06.2014